

## State of New Hampshire Department of Health and Human Services Part C FCESS Interagency Coordinating Council (ICC)

CONFERENCE LINE: https://nh-dhhs.zoom.us/j/93856378690?pwd=YjJSM1A0bWNGZGZYSTEvUWF5THINUT09

LOCATION: Zoom Virtual DATE: 11/6/2020

TIME: 9:30 am - 11:30pm

Meeting Purpose/Goals: ICC is a federally required stakeholder council who reviews current Part C FCESS system updates, programmatic planning, and provides input/recommendations for improvements.



us more voices, more connections to future recruits.

## **DHHS STAFF:**

⊠Kathy Gray, Part C Coordinator

## ICC Committee Members:

⊠ Diane Bolduc	oxtimes Jessica Bowen	⊠ Alex Bowen	$\square$ Jane Hybsh	$\square$ Kara Buxton	⊠ Christina McDonald
$\square$ Robin Tiernev	☐ Terry Ohlson-Martii	n □ Melissa Hugener	⊠ Dee Dunn Tiernev	⊠ Gloria Fulmer	

Other Attendees: Sam Burgess; MariBeth Rathburn

Meeting Leader: Michelle Lewis - Chair

## Agenda

Ground Rules: Please be present and actively engaged; please hibernate technology. Key Takeaways & Category Action Items Time Leader(s) Topic Welcome, Introductions, Robin, Jane, Terry, Kara, Melissa notified Michelle Michelle Agenda Review and that they would be absent 9:30 Information Lewis Housekeeping We seriously need additional members. We had chosen not to add professional members (other than required slots) as that would put the parent Michelle 9:45 Review Membership Discussion representation even further below the expected Lewis percentage. Jess, as a parent member, promoted adding any members that are willing. This will give

				<ul> <li>and potentially make us more interesting to other future members.</li> <li>We discussed creating more clear and focused objectives that would allow prospective members to see areas in which they would want to be involved. One current objective is to create marketing materials; another is analyzing data with the goal of understanding how to improve outcomes for focus groups (males, ethnic minorities).</li> <li>We agreed that the next step will be for Diane, Jess, and Christina to meet and put together a brief information sheet. Christina has volunteered to reach out to some specific colleagues that would fill professional slots on our membership list related to preschool (Part B).</li> <li>We discussed sending invitations and agendas to targeted potential members, especially those whose job would put them into a required slot.</li> </ul>
10:00	Child Outcome Summary Data	Discussion	Kathy Gray	<ul> <li>Kathy presented on the latest Child Outcome Survey (COS) data. The data shows continuing reduction in growth. Kathy has been working with the national technical assistance (TA) office. In testing out the earlier anecdotal hypothesis that providers were rating children higher pre-training, they have been looking at the entry scores over several years. Discussion ensued about what might be involved in the assigning of entry scores differently pre- and post-COS training. Kathy will take this information back to her next meeting with national TA.</li> <li>Kathy then presented some data related to our State Strategic Improvement Plan (SSIP). The gap between boys and girls reduced in the first year but then widened again. Christina brought up that boys respond to trauma differently than girls in trauma so if the referrals during the time period involved include a high number of children who had been</li> </ul>

				<ul> <li>involved in trauma, this may impact the data / results for boys and girls.</li> <li>We then looked at the race / ethnicity data on Knowledge / Skills. In FFY 2018 we began to close the gap but then last year, this gap also widened.</li> <li>Michelle raised the question of how much, if any, inservice training has happened that would assist in maintaining the integrity of use of the COS. Gloria added that there may be a difference with people who were trained as a cohort rather than individually. Kathy said that, due to the COS data and monitoring data, there is a refresher training being planned. This may, in the future, become a regular periodic training or may be done on an asneeded basis. Samuel asked whether the results are the same in the other two areas measured by the COS. His hypothesis was that language differences and use of interpreters would be more likely to impact this specific area. Kathy said that she has not yet reviewed the data for the other two areas.</li> <li>The discussion also included which group may have withdrawn due to virtual visits and use of technology prior to child improving functional skills or reaching age expectations.</li> </ul>
11:15	<ul> <li>Determining Next Steps</li> </ul>	Discussion	Michelle Lewis	<ul> <li>Next month's meeting will be longer (see below) and will include further work on the Child Outcome Survey data, the Family Outcome Survey data, and membership. As we look for new members, we want to look to get more gender and ethnic diversity.</li> </ul>
11:30	• Adjourn			• Next ICC Virtual Meetings o Dec 4, 2020 9:30-12:30